

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/901,635	1,635 07/11/2001		Hugo Remi Michiels	MICH3001/JEK	7901	
23364	7590	07/21/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BACON &	THOM	AS, PLLC	NI, SU	NI, SUHAN		
625 SLATER	RS LANE	3				
FOURTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2643	14		
				DATE MAIL ED: 07/21/200	<i>ا</i> ا	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/901,635	MICHIELS, HUGO REMI	
Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Suhan Ni Dears on the cover sheet with the c	2643	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6,7 and 9-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6,7 and 9-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/901,635

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. The submission for RCE filed on 5/14/2004 has been entered.

2. This communication is responsive to the amendment filed 03/29/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the limitation of "a hard glue" in lines 4-5 is vague since it is not clear what the limitation is.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,635

Art Unit: 2643

4. Claim 1-4, 6-7 and 9-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Azima et al. (US-6,266,426).

Regarding claim 1, Azima et al. disclose a transducer, comprising: a one-piece piezoelectric disk (9) inherently having a first and second radial surface (Fig. 4); and a membrane (2) formed of an elastic material and attenuating sound vibrations, wherein the first radial surface of the piezoelectric disk is directly attached to the membrane (Fig. 4) as claimed. But Azima et al. do not clearly teach how to attach the first surface to the membrane as claimed. Since providing a suitable adhesive agent to bond a piezoelectric disk to a vibrating membrane of a loudspeaker is very well known in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to provide one or more kind suitable and commercially available adhesive agent, such as volatile acrylic glue for rapidly and firmly bond the piezoelectric driver to the vibrating membrane of the loudspeaker, in order to efficiently and effectively assemble the loudspeaker.

Regarding claims 2-4, 6-7 and 9 Azima et al. further disclose the transducer, wherein the membrane is formed a soft material (col. 3, lines 10-44) as claimed.

Regarding claims 10-11 and 13, Azima et al. further disclose the transducer, wherein the membrane is provided with a groove (3).

Regarding claim 12, Azima et al. do not clearly teach a depth of the suspension groove as claimed. Since Azima et al. do not specially restrict the configuration of the suspension groove, and do clearly suggest to provide the panel speaker in many applications, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a suitable

Application/Control Number: 09/901,635

Art Unit: 2643

membrane with a desirable suspension groove, such as a depth of 90% of the membrane for the panel speaker, in order to provide a desirable panel speaker for certain application.

Regarding claim 14, Azima et al. further disclose the transducer, wherein the membrane has a circumferential edge (Fig. 3) connected to a frame (100) as claimed.

Regarding claims 15-16, Azima et al. further disclose the transducer, wherein the membrane has been configured as a part of a housing (Fig. 4) as claimed.

Regarding claims 17-18, Azima et al. further disclose the transducer, wherein the membrane is engaged to a suspension frame (1, 3) as claimed.

Regarding claims 20-21, Azima et al. do not clearly teach a frequency filter as claimed. Since providing a suitable frequency filter for an acoustic transducer is very well known in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to provide a suitable frequency filter for an acoustic transducer as an alternate choice, in order to provide a desirable loudspeaker having certain acoustic characteristics.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Suhan Ni** whose telephone number is (703)-308-9322, and the number for fax machine is (703)-305-9508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday

Application/Control Number: 09/901,635

Art Unit: 2643

through Thursday from 9:00 am to 7:30 pm. If it is necessary, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached at **(703)** 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

SN

July 10, 2004

SUHAN NI PRIMARY EXAMINER